# Output and Unemployment dynamics in LDC's The Okun Lawn revisited

Patrice Borda (University of the French West Indies)

 $45^{th}$ Annual Monetary Studies Conférence, Octobre 2013

Impulse responses function for the extended model

Motivation
Previous pape

#### Motivation

#### We know that:

- A Take-off come from Big Push, for ex: industrial revolution,
- There is a trade-off between development and unemployment,
- Okun Law is unstable in LDC's,



Impulse responses function for the extended model

Motivation Previous pape

#### The aim of this paper

- Is to reexamine the consequences of dualism in the perspective of economic take-off,
- If the development of the modern sector decreases employment, then the take-off is unavoidably accompanied by a sudden increase in unemployment.
- The economic take-off is represented by a dual model in which the production in the modern sector generates two technological externalities,
- On the one hand a static externality (Marshall type economy of scale)
- On the other hand, a dynamic technology externality that benefits the entire economy Wage disparities and

## Previous paper

- The work of Arthur Lewis (1954) placed at the heart of the debate on development, the ideas of classical economists about the process of industrial transformation in the early stages of capitalist development,
- According to these autors, an increase in employment in the modern sector impacts negatively on employment in the economy at large,
- Murphy, Vishny (1989), Industrialization and the big Push,



## **Assumptions**

- We consider an economy with two sectors, a modern sector and a traditional one. Both sectors produce the same good
  - There are N workers in the economy. Workers are identical and risks neutral,
  - The wage in the modern sector is negotiated,
  - Unemployment seems to be necessary step for those willing to work in the modern sector,



Extended model
Empirical results
responses function for the basic model

Impulse responses function for the basic model Impulse responses function for the extended model Some preliminary results Assumptions Basic relations

#### **Basic relations**

#### Basic relations

$$\hat{\ell}_{t}^{d} = -\delta \left( \hat{w}_{t} - \hat{p}_{t} - \hat{a}_{t} \right) \\
\hat{w}_{t} = E_{t-1}\hat{p}_{t} + \hat{a}_{t-1} + g - \frac{\gamma}{\delta}\hat{\ell}_{t-1}^{d} - \frac{1-\gamma}{\delta}\bar{\ell} \\
\hat{p}_{t} = \hat{p}_{t}^{f} + \hat{e}_{t} \\
\hat{p}_{t}^{f} = \hat{p}_{t-1}^{f} + \pi + \epsilon_{t}^{p} \\
\hat{m}_{t} - \hat{p}_{t} = \bar{y}_{t} - \eta \hat{i}_{t} + v_{t} \\
v_{t} = v_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t}^{m} \\
\hat{i}_{t}^{f} = \hat{i}_{t-1}^{f} + \epsilon_{t}^{i} \\
\hat{i}_{t} = E_{t}\hat{e}_{t+1} - \hat{e}_{t} + \hat{i}_{t}^{f} \\
\hat{u}_{t} = \bar{\ell}_{t} - \hat{\ell}_{t}$$



Impulse responses function for the extended model

Inemployment dynamics under flexible exchange rate Inder fixed exchange rate

# Dynamics behaviour in the basic model

 We compute the rational expectations solution to the previous model given the exchange rate regime. The general solution is:

$$\hat{\hat{u}}_t = \gamma \hat{u}_{t-1} + \delta \left( E_{t-1} \Delta \hat{p}_t - \Delta \hat{p}_t \right) - \delta \epsilon_t^s$$

Unemployment dynamics under flexible exchange rate Under fixed exchange rate

## Under flexible exchange rate

Impulse responses function for the extended model

The reduce-form for the unemployment rate dynamics is :

$$\hat{u}_t = \gamma \hat{u}_{t-1} - \delta \eta \epsilon_t^i - \delta \epsilon_t^m$$

Impulse responses function for the basic model Impulse responses function for the extended model Unemployment dynamics under flexible exchange rate Under fixed exchange rate

## Under fixed exchange rate

The reduce-form for the unemployment rate dynamics is :

$$\hat{u}_{t} = \gamma \hat{u}_{t-1} - \delta \left( \epsilon_{t}^{p} + \epsilon_{t}^{s} \right)$$



#### **Extensions**

- We extend the discussion by taking into account the New Keynesian Macro-Model,
- Caribbean labor markets, are affected by international business cycles (foreign shocks),
- we complet the previous model by intergrating, the aggregate supply, this IS curve and the monetary policy based on the Talor rule.



### Simulation and calibration

- We solve the equilibrium model by taking into account the rational expectations' hypothesis,
- We perform the parameterization for both basis and extended models,
- We simulate the model for Barbados and OECS countries.

TABLE: Parameter values of the model basic

$\alpha$	$\gamma$	$\eta$	$\bar{\ell}$
0.33	0.90	0.25	1

TABLE: Parameter values of the extended model

	λ	$\theta$	θ	ς	$\omega$	$\kappa$	ρ
0.5	586 C	0.0011	0.4859	0.0045	1.6409	0.6038	0.0045

Note: Cho and Moreno (2006).

## **OECS** countries

FIGURE : Impulse responses to  $\epsilon_t^s$ 

fig1.pdf



### Barbados

FIGURE : Impulse responses to  $\epsilon_t^i$ 

fig3.pdf

## OECS

FIGURE : Impulse responses to  $\epsilon_t^{AS}$ 

fig4.pdf

### Barbados

FIGURE : Impulse responses to  $\epsilon_t^{AS}$ 

fig7.pdf

## OECS

FIGURE : Impulse responses to  $\epsilon_t^{MP}$ 

fig9.pdf

## OECS

FIGURE : Impulse responses to  $\epsilon_t^{MP}$ 

fig8.pdf

### Barbados

FIGURE : Impulse responses to  $\epsilon_t^{MP}$ 

fig9.pdf



- Under a flexible exchange rate regime, unemployment and wage have smaller impacts when countries are hit by structural shocks,
- Under a fixed exchange rate regime, labour market tends to fluctuate more.
- Structural shocks coming from the US economy have strong effects on Caribbean labort markets (this is due to the rigidity).