

**The Determinants of FDI
flows between OECD and
CARICOM countries**

by

Preeya Mohan

Patrick Kent Watson



Outline of Paper

- Objective and Motivation of Study
- Literature Review
- Data and Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion

Objective of Study

- To determine factors which affect FDI flows between CARICOM and OECD countries.
- Investigation carried out using Gravity model applied to twenty countries - twelve CARICOM and eight OECD for period 2000-2007.

Importance of FDI

- Since the 1980s FDI flows have increased exponentially.
- World FDI flows to CARICOM countries have also increased.
- OECD countries are the main contributors of FDI to developing countries.



Benefits of FDI

- OECD (2002) lists the following benefits of FDI to developing economies:
 - technology spillovers
 - improved human capital formation
 - enhanced trade and integration
 - encourage enterprise development
 - higher growth and potential for poverty reduction



Benefits Cont'd

- environmental preservation through transfer of 'cleaner' technology
- improved social conditions by forcing host countries to adopt more socially responsible corporate policies

Factors Affecting FDI

- Firms undertake FDI for a myriad of reasons.
- The factors looked at in study :
 1. Macroeconomic
 2. Financial Conditions in acquirer country
 3. Trade
 4. Transactions cost
 5. Tax regimes

Macroeconomic Factors

1. Real GDP of acquirer and target countries
 - GDP acts as a measure of market size.
 - Firms in countries with large GDPs are expected to engage in more FDI and to move to countries with high GDPs.

Macroeconomic Factors Cont'd

2. Price level in target country

- High prices create uncertainty over real returns which can reduce FDI Flows

Financial Conditions

- Ease of access to long term financing would stimulate FDI.
- Firms can obtain investment financing from two sources:
 1. Stock market
 2. Private credit

Trade

- Trade can affect FDI positively or negatively.
- High export costs encourage firms to set up local production facilities-negative relationship between FDI and trade.
- Stylized fact : trade and FDI positively related.

Trade Cont'd

- Trade is further investigated by looking at trade agreements between investor and host countries.
 1. Do both countries belong to a common Customs Union?
 2. Do both countries share a common Free Free Trade Agreement?
 3. Do both countries have a common Service Agreement?
 4. Any Other Common Trade Agreement

Transactions Costs

- Transactions cost hamper FDI flows.
- Distance acts as a proxy for transactions cost.
- Further apart two countries the greater the information asymmetries between them.

Transactions Cost Cont'd

- Having a common language reduces transactions cost as information can be more easily communicated.



Taxes

- Taxes not a main determinant of FDI.
- High taxes in host countries can deter FDI.



Data and Methodology

- FDI data recorded in the Annual BOP reports.
- Lack of bilateral FDI flows among CARICOM countries pose challenges for study.
- CARICOM countries report gross FDI flows only, no data on source and destination.
- Trinidad and Tobago is only country that provided such data.

Methodology

- A Gravity Model was used.
- The study augments Standard gravity model to include
macroeconomic, financial, trade, transactions cost
and tax variables some of which takes the form of
dummy variables.

Model

- $\ln (FDI_{ij,t}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln Y_{it} + \beta_2 \ln Y_{jt} + \beta_3 \ln P_{it} + \beta_4 \ln (MC/YN)_{jt} + \beta_5 \ln (Credit/YN)_{jt} + \beta_6 \ln Dist_{ij} + \beta_7 \ln Trade_{ij,t} + \beta_8 CU_{ij,t} + \beta_9 FT_{ij,t} + \beta_{10} SA_{ij,t} + \beta_{11} Other_{ij,t} + \beta_{12} Lang_{ij,t} + \beta_{13} \ln Tax_{i,t} + e_{ij,t}$



Estimation

- Estimation done by pooling data across the twenty countries.
- Method of estimation Pooled Ordinary Least Squares (OLS).

Results

Variable	Coefficient	p-value
Y_i	1.198126	0.0000
Y_j	1.100664	0.0000
P	-5.719124	0.0000
$(MC/Y^N)_j$	0.096901	0.0296
$(Credit/Y^N)_j$	1.317641	0.0000
<i>Dist</i>	-1.788559	0.0000
<i>Trade</i>	-0.027167	0.1844
<i>CU</i>	7.400023	0.0000
<i>FT</i>	15.00556	0.0000
<i>SA</i>	-7.941388	0.0000
<i>Other</i>	-8.793793	0.0009
<i>Lang</i>	-2.937632	0.0000
<i>Tax</i>	-0.245595	0.0000

Results Cont'd

- All coefficients except trade in goods highly significant.
- Coefficients of real GDP for target and investor countries are large.
- Credit market to GDP coefficient was larger than stock market to GDP coefficient.



Results Cont'd

- Coefficient for Price level and taxes negative.
- Trade agreement dummies all highly significant.



Conclusion

- ▶ FDI flows more freely from high income to high income countries.
- ▶ It is encouraged by vibrant stock exchange and credit market in acquirer countries with credit having a larger effect.
- ▶ High price levels and oppressive tax regimes in target countries discourage FDI.
- ▶ Trade in goods do not appear to affect FDI but Trade and Service agreements do.



THANK YOU FOR THE
COURTESY OF YOUR
ATTENTION